

FILED - CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION  
04 MAY 24 AM 10:32  
TX EASTERN-MARSHALL

Compression Labs, Incorporated

BY \_\_\_\_\_

*Plaintiff,*

1. Adobe Systems Incorporated,
2. Agfa Corporation,
3. Apple Computer, Incorporated,
4. Axis Communications, Incorporated,
5. Cannon, USA, Incorporated,
6. Concord Camera Corporation,
7. Creative Labs, Incorporated,
8. Eastman Kodak Company,
9. Fuji Photo Film U.S.A.,
10. Fujitsu Computer Products of America,
11. Gateway, Incorporated,
12. Hewlett-Packard Company,
13. JASC Software,
14. JVC Americas Corporation,
15. Kyocera Wireless Corporation,
16. Macromedia, Incorporated,
17. Matsushita Electric Corporation of America,
18. Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Incorporated,
19. Oce North America, Incorporated,
20. Onkyo U.S.A. corporation,
21. PalmOne, Incorporated,
22. Panasonic Communications Corporation of America,
23. Panasonic Mobile Communications Development Corporation of USA,
24. Ricoh Corporation,
25. Riverdeep, Incorporated (d.b.a. Broderbund),
26. Savin Corporation,
27. Thomson S.A.
28. Xerox Corporation,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-CV-158

JURY

[PROPOSED] ORDER

DEFENDANTS

On this the 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2004, came on to be presented Defendant Océ North America, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion to Extend Time to Answer, Move or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint of Compression Labs, Incorporated, and the Court being of the opinion that the same should be GRANTED, it is therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DEGREEED that the Consented to Motion of Océ North America, Inc. to Extend Time to Answer, Move or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint of Compression Labs, Incorporated be granted and that the deadline for Defendant Océ North America, Inc. to file an answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is extended to July 6, 2004.

SIGNED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE PRESIDING